STSCI 3740/5740 Machine Learning and Data Mining

Dr. Nayel Bettache

Homework 1, due Oct 10, 11:59pm

Problem 1 (6 points)

- 1. Express $Var(X_1 X_2)$ through the variances and covariances of X_1, X_2 (assuming all variances exist).
- 2. Assume that $X_1, ..., X_n$ are i.i.d. real-valued random variables with finite variances. Show that

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right) = \frac{1}{n}\operatorname{Var}(X_{1}).$$

3. Assume that X, Y are independent random variables with $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0, \mathbb{E}[Y] = 1, \operatorname{Var}(X) = 1, \operatorname{Var}(Y) = 2$. Compute $\mathbb{E}[(3X + Y)(5Y + 2X - 1)]$

Problem 2 (8 points)

Solve Problem 1 of Chapter 2.4, Problem 1 of Chapter 3.7 and Problem 1 of Chapter 4.8 in the textbook *Introduction to Statistical Learning* (second edition).

Problem 3 (12 points)

Solve Problem 9 of Chapter 2.4, Problems 8 and 9 of chapter 3.7 and Problem 14 of chapter 4.8 in the textbook *Introduction to Statistical Learning*. Choose either R or Python. The data set Auto can be found on the webpage of the course

You may follow the code in Chapter 2.3.4 (ISLR) or Chapter 2.3.7 (ISLP) to load data. (For this problem you shall submit a R notebook or a Python notebook explaining every single step of your code.)

Problem 4 (5 points)

This question is required for STSCI 5740. It is optional for 3740, that means you can have some bonus points, if you get the correct answer.

Classification is a very important research area and has been extensively studied from a theoretical aspect. In many research papers, the focus is on how to bound the so-called excess risk of a classifier. In this question, we will first define the excess risk, and then study some mathematical properties of the excess risk.

We will follow the notation in the lectures. Assume that Y takes values in $\{0, 1\}$. Based on the lectures, we know the Bayes classifier is $f^*(x) = 1$ if $p_1(x) = P(Y = 1|X = x) > 1/2$ and $f^*(x) = 0$ otherwise. (I use a slightly different notation f^* to denote the Bayes classifier rather than \hat{f} in the slides).

Since $p_1(x)$ depends on the unknown data distribution, the Bayes classifier is not implementable in practice. One way to construct a practical classifier is the following. Let us first use some model or algorithm to estimate $p_1(x)$. We call this estimator as $\hat{p}_1(x) \in [0, 1]$. Then we can plug-in the Bayes classifier. So, we have the following classifier $\hat{f}(x) = 1$ if $\hat{p}_1(x) > 1/2$ and $\hat{f}(x) = 0$ otherwise.

Now, we define the *excess risk* of the classifier f(x) as

$$R(\tilde{f}) - R(f^*),$$

where $R(f) = P(Y \neq f(X))$ is the misclassification error of f (unconditioning on X). In words, the excess risk is the difference between the misclassification errors of \hat{f} and the Bayes classifier. Since the Bayes classifier has the smallest misclassification error (shown in the class), we know the excess risk is always nonnegative. We can claim that \hat{f} is a good classifier, if its excess risk is close to 0. So, for any given classifier \hat{f} , we would like to know its excess risk or its upper bound at least.

Given the above background, please prove the following inequality regarding the excess risk

$$R(f) - R(f^*) \le 2E|\hat{p}_1(X) - p_1(X)|.$$

(If you take some more advanced ML courses in the future, you will see this is an important inequality.)

Hint: You may first prove the following identity

$$P(Y \neq \hat{f}(X))|X = x) - P(Y \neq f^*(X))|X = x) = |2p_1(x) - 1| \times I(f^*(x) \neq \hat{f}(x)),$$

where I() is the indicator function.

Problem 5 (4 Bonus points)

This exercise is optional for everyone.

Assume that we have the regression model

$$Y = f(X) + \varepsilon,$$

where ε is independent of X and $\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon) = 0$, $\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon^2) = \sigma^2$. Assume that the training data $(x_1, y_1), ..., (x_n, y_n)$ are used to construct an estimate \hat{f} of f. Given a new random vector (X, Y) (i.e., test data independent of the training data),

1. Show that

$$\mathbb{E}[(f(X) - \hat{f}(X))^2 | X = x] = \mathbb{V}(\hat{f}(X) | X = x) + \mathbb{E}\left(\left[\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}(X) | X = x] - f(X)\right]^2 | X = x\right).$$
(1)

Hint: You may start from

$$\mathbb{E}[(f(X) - \hat{f}(X))^2 | X = x] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(f(X) - \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}(X) | X = x] + \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}(X) | X = x] - \hat{f}(X)\right)^2 | X = x\right].$$

Then do the square expansion.

2. Show that

$$\mathbb{E}[(Y - \hat{f}(X))^2 | X = x] = \mathbb{V}(\hat{f}(X) | X = x) + \mathbb{E}\left(\left[\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}(X) | X = x] - f(X)\right]^2 | X = x\right) + \sigma^2 + \sigma^2$$

Hint: The proof follows from the similar derivations shown in the lecture together with the equation (1) above.

- 3. Explain the bias-variance trade-off based on the above equation.
- 4. Explain the difference between training MSE and test MSE. Can expected test MSE be smaller than σ^2 ?

Problem 6 (3 Bonus points)

This exercise is optional for everyone.

Consider a classification problem. Assume that the response variable Y can only take value in $C = \{1, 2, 3\}$. For a fixed x_0 , assume that the conditional probability of Y given $X = x_0$ follows

$$P(Y = 1|X = x_0) = 0.6; P(Y = 2|X = x_0) = 0.3; P(Y = 3|X = x_0) = 0.1.$$

- 1. Derive the Bayes classifier at $X = x_0$.
- 2. Derive the corresponding Bayes error rate.
- 3. Consider a naive classifier $\hat{f}(x_0)$, called random guessing. That is we use the computer to randomly pick one number from $C = \{1, 2, 3\}$ with equal probability as the label for x_0 . Compute the expected test error rate of this classifier. Show that the Bayes error rate is smaller than the expected test error rate for random guessing.