# Lecture 6: Linear Regression (Textbook 3.3)

Department of Statistical Science, Cornell University

• Some predictors are not quantitative, but rather qualitative, taking on a discrete set of values.

- Some predictors are not quantitative, but rather qualitative, taking on a discrete set of values.
- These are also referred to as categorical predictors or factor variables.

- Some predictors are not quantitative, but rather qualitative, taking on a discrete set of values.
- These are also referred to as categorical predictors or factor variables.
- For example, consider the credit card data, which includes qualitative variables such as gender, student status, marital status, and ethnicity.

- Some predictors are not quantitative, but rather qualitative, taking on a discrete set of values.
- These are also referred to as categorical predictors or factor variables.
- For example, consider the credit card data, which includes qualitative variables such as gender, student status, marital status, and ethnicity.
- These qualitative variables can take on specific categories, such as male/female, student/non-student, etc.

- Some predictors are not quantitative, but rather qualitative, taking on a discrete set of values.
- These are also referred to as categorical predictors or factor variables.
- For example, consider the credit card data, which includes qualitative variables such as gender, student status, marital status, and ethnicity.
- These qualitative variables can take on specific categories, such as male/female, student/non-student, etc.
- How can we incorporate these qualitative predictors into our regression model?

# Credit Card Data

The Credit data set contains information about balance, age, cards, education, income, limit, and rating for a number of potential customers.



$$x_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \text{th person is female} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \text{th person is male} \end{cases}$$

Resulting model:

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \epsilon_i = \begin{cases} \beta_0 + \beta_1 + \epsilon_i & \text{if ith person is female} \\ \beta_0 + \epsilon_i & \text{if ith person is male.} \end{cases}$$

$$x_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \text{th person is female} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \text{th person is male} \end{cases}$$

Resulting model:

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \epsilon_i = \begin{cases} \beta_0 + \beta_1 + \epsilon_i & \text{if ith person is female} \\ \beta_0 + \epsilon_i & \text{if ith person is male.} \end{cases}$$

Interpretation of  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$  ?

$$x_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \text{th person is female} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \text{th person is male} \end{cases}$$

Resulting model:

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \epsilon_i = \begin{cases} \beta_0 + \beta_1 + \epsilon_i & \text{if ith person is female} \\ \beta_0 + \epsilon_i & \text{if ith person is male.} \end{cases}$$

 $\beta_0$  can be interpreted as the average credit card balance among males.  $\beta_0 + \beta_1$  as the average credit card balance among females  $\beta_1$  as the average difference in credit card balance between males and females.

$$x_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \text{th person is female} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \text{th person is male} \end{cases}$$

Resulting model:

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \epsilon_i = \begin{cases} \beta_0 + \beta_1 + \epsilon_i & \text{if ith person is female} \\ \beta_0 + \epsilon_i & \text{if ith person is male.} \end{cases}$$

The decision to code 0 for males and 1 for females is arbitrary and has no effect on the regression ft, but does alter the interpretation of the coefficients.

With more than two levels, we create additional dummy variables. For example, for the ethnicity variable we create two dummy variables. The first could be

$$x_{i1} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \text{th person is Asian} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \text{th person is not Asian,} \end{cases}$$

and the second could be

$$x_{i2} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \text{th person is Caucasian} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \text{th person is not Caucasian.} \end{cases}$$

Then both of these variables can be used in the regression equation, in order to obtain the model

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \epsilon_i = \begin{cases} \beta_0 + \beta_1 + \epsilon_i & \text{if ith person is Asian} \\ \beta_0 + \beta_2 + \epsilon_i & \text{if ith person is Caucasian} \\ \beta_0 + \epsilon_i & \text{if ith person is AA.} \end{cases}$$

There will always be one fewer dummy variable than the number of levels. The level with no dummy variable – African American in this example – is known as the baseline.

Then both of these variables can be used in the regression equation, in order to obtain the model

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \epsilon_i = \begin{cases} \beta_0 + \beta_1 + \epsilon_i & \text{if ith person is Asian} \\ \beta_0 + \beta_2 + \epsilon_i & \text{if ith person is Caucasian} \\ \beta_0 + \epsilon_i & \text{if ith person is AA.} \end{cases}$$

There will always be one fewer dummy variable than the number of levels. The level with no dummy variable – African American in this example – is known as the baseline.

Interpretation of  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  ?

	Coefficient	Std. error	t-statistic	p-value
Intercept	531.00	46.32	11.464	< 0.0001
ethnicity[Asian]	-18.69	65.02	-0.287	0.7740
ethnicity[Caucasian]	-12.50	56.68	-0.221	0.8260

Interpretation: The Asian category will have 18.69 less debt than the African American category, and that the Caucasian category will have 12.50 less debt than the African American category.

• The standard linear regression model provides interpretable results and works well on many real-world problems.

- The standard linear regression model provides interpretable results and works well on many real-world problems.
- It makes restrictive assumptions, often violated in practice.

- The standard linear regression model provides interpretable results and works well on many real-world problems.
- It makes restrictive assumptions, often violated in practice.
- Two key assumptions are additivity and linearity.

- The standard linear regression model provides interpretable results and works well on many real-world problems.
- It makes restrictive assumptions, often violated in practice.
- Two key assumptions are **additivity** and **linearity**.
- Additivity: The association between a predictor  $X_j$  and the response Y does not depend on the other predictors.

- The standard linear regression model provides interpretable results and works well on many real-world problems.
- It makes restrictive assumptions, often violated in practice.
- Two key assumptions are **additivity** and **linearity**.
- Additivity: The association between a predictor  $X_j$  and the response Y does not depend on the other predictors.
- Linearity: The change in Y associated with a one-unit change in X<sub>j</sub> is constant, regardless of the value of X<sub>j</sub>.

- The standard linear regression model provides interpretable results and works well on many real-world problems.
- It makes restrictive assumptions, often violated in practice.
- Two key assumptions are **additivity** and **linearity**.
- Additivity: The association between a predictor  $X_j$  and the response Y does not depend on the other predictors.
- Linearity: The change in Y associated with a one-unit change in X<sub>j</sub> is constant, regardless of the value of X<sub>j</sub>.
- In later chapters, we explore methods that relax these assumptions.

Removing the additive assumption: interactions and nonlinearity.

### Extensions of the Linear Model

Removing the additive assumption: **interactions** and **nonlinearity**. **Interactions**:

## Extensions of the Linear Model

Removing the additive assumption: **interactions** and **nonlinearity**. Interactions:

• Consider the model

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \epsilon.$$

Regardless of the value of  $X_2$ , a one-unit increase in  $X_1$  will lead to a  $\beta_1$ -unit increase in Y.

Removing the additive assumption: **interactions** and **nonlinearity**. Interactions:

• Consider the model

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \epsilon.$$

Regardless of the value of  $X_2$ , a one-unit increase in  $X_1$  will lead to a  $\beta_1$ -unit increase in Y.

• Consider the model with interaction terms

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_1 X_2 + \epsilon$$
  
=  $\beta_0 + (\beta_1 + \beta_3 X_2) X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \epsilon$   
=  $\beta_0 + \tilde{\beta}_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \epsilon$ ,

where  $\tilde{\beta}_1 = \beta_1 + \beta_3 X_2$ . Since  $\tilde{\beta}_1$  changes with  $X_2$ , the effect of  $X_1$  on Y is no longer constant: adjusting  $X_2$  will change the impact of  $X_1$  on Y.

# Interaction Effects in the Advertising Data

Synergy and Interaction Effects

• In our previous analysis, both TV and radio advertising were associated with sales.

Synergy and Interaction Effects

- In our previous analysis, both TV and radio advertising were associated with sales.
- The linear model assumed that the effect of one medium is independent of the other.

Synergy and Interaction Effects

- In our previous analysis, both TV and radio advertising were associated with sales.
- The linear model assumed that the effect of one medium is independent of the other.
- However, this may not be correct. Spending on radio may increase the effectiveness of TV ads.

Synergy and Interaction Effects

•

- In our previous analysis, both TV and radio advertising were associated with sales.
- The linear model assumed that the effect of one medium is independent of the other.
- However, this may not be correct. Spending on radio may increase the effectiveness of TV ads.

sales =  $\beta_0 + \beta_1 TV + \beta_2 radio + \beta_3 (TV \times radio) + \epsilon$ =  $\beta_0 + (\beta_1 + \beta_3 radio) \times TV + \beta_2 radio + \epsilon$ .

	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-statistic	p-value
Intercept	6.7502	0.248	27.23	< 0.0001
TV	0.0191	0.002	12.70	< 0.0001
radio	0.0289	0.009	3.24	0.0014
TV×radio	0.0011	0.000	20.73	< 0.0001

	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-statistic	p-value
Intercept	6.7502	0.248	27.23	< 0.0001
TV	0.0191	0.002	12.70	< 0.0001
radio	0.0289	0.009	3.24	0.0014
TV×radio	0.0011	0.000	20.73	< 0.0001

Interpretation: an increase in TV advertising of \$1,000 is associated with increased sales of  $(\beta_1 + \beta_3 radio) \times 1000 = 19 + 1.1 \times radio$  units.

	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-statistic	p-value
Intercept	6.7502	0.248	27.23	< 0.0001
TV	0.0191	0.002	12.70	< 0.0001
radio	0.0289	0.009	3.24	0.0014
TV×radio	0.0011	0.000	20.73	< 0.0001

Interpretation: an increase in TV advertising of \$1,000 is associated with increased sales of  $(\beta_1 + \beta_3 radio) \times 1000 = 19 + 1.1 \times radio$  units.

Interpretation of  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ ?

	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-statistic	p-value
Intercept	6.7502	0.248	27.23	< 0.0001
TV	0.0191	0.002	12.70	< 0.0001
radio	0.0289	0.009	3.24	0.0014
TV×radio	0.0011	0.000	20.73	< 0.0001

Interpretation: an increase in TV advertising of \$1,000 is associated with increased sales of  $(\beta_1 + \beta_3 radio) \times 1000 = 19 + 1.1 \times radio$  units.

Interpretation of  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ ? Read pages 89-90 of the textbook

• If we include an interaction  $X_1 \times X_2$  in a model, we should also include the main effects  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , even if the p-values associated with their coefficients are not significant.

- If we include an interaction  $X_1 \times X_2$  in a model, we should also include the main effects  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , even if the p-values associated with their coefficients are not significant.
- The rationale for this principle is that interactions are hard to interpret in a model without main effects.

- If we include an interaction  $X_1 \times X_2$  in a model, we should also include the main effects  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , even if the p-values associated with their coefficients are not significant.
- The rationale for this principle is that interactions are hard to interpret in a model without main effects.
- Specifically, the interaction terms also contain main effects, if the model has no main effect terms.

### Non-linear Relationships



For a number of cars, mpg and horsepower are shown. The linear regression (orange); the linear regression fit for a model that includes horsepower<sup>2</sup> (blue); the linear regression fit for a model that includes all polynomials of horsepower up to fifth-degree (green).

# Non-linear Relationships

The figure suggests that

$$mpg = \beta_0 + \beta_1 horsepower + \beta_2 horsepower^2 + \epsilon$$
,

may provide a better fit.

	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-statistic	p-value
Intercept	56.9001	1.8004	31.6	< 0.0001
horsepower	-0.4662	0.0311	-15.0	< 0.0001
${\tt horsepower}^2$	0.0012	0.0001	10.1	< 0.0001

Some general comments:

- A simple approach for incorporating non-linear associations in a linear model is to include transformed versions of the predictors in the model.
- It is still a linear model! Can be fitted by least squared with  $X_1 = horsepower$ , and  $X_2 = horsepower^2$ .