Lecture 16: Linear Model Selection and Regularization (Textbook 6.3 and 6.4)

- The methods that we have discussed so far in this chapter have involved fitting linear regression models, via least squares or a shrunken approach, using the original predictors, $X_1, X_2, ..., X_p$.
- We now explore a class of approaches that transform the predictors and then fit a least squares model using the transformed variables. We will refer to these techniques as **dimension reduction methods**.

Dimension Reduction Methods

• Let $Z_1, Z_2, ..., Z_M$ represent M < p linear combinations of our original p predictors,

$$Z_m = \sum_{j=1}^p \phi_{jm} X_j,$$

for some constants $\phi_{1m}, ..., \phi_{pm}$, and m = 1, ..., M.

• We then fit the linear regression

$$Y = \theta_0 + \sum_{m=1}^{M} \theta_m Z_m + \epsilon$$

using the ordinary least squares.

- In the previous model, the regression coefficients are given by $\theta_0, ..., \theta_M$. If the constants $\phi_{1m}, ..., \phi_{pm}$ are chosen wisely, then such dimension reduction approaches can often outperform OLS regression.
- The term dimension reduction comes from the fact that the dimension of the problem has been reduced from p + 1 to M + 1.

All dimension reduction methods work in two steps.

- First, the transformed predictors $Z_1, Z_2, ..., Z_M$ are obtained.
- Second, the model is fit using these *M* predictors.
- However, the construction of $Z_1, Z_2, ..., Z_M$ can be achieved in different ways.
- We will consider the **principal components** method.

- The idea is to apply principal components analysis (PCA) to the $n \times p$ features matrix **X**. This has nothing to do with the outcome!
- The first principal component is that (normalized) linear combination of the variables with the largest variance.
- The second principal component has largest variance, subject to being uncorrelated with the first.
- And so on. (We will discuss more details in Chapter 10).
- Hence with many correlated original variables, we replace them with a small set of principal components that capture their joint variation.

Advertising Data

Consider two features: population size (pop) and ad spending for a particular company (ad).



The first principal component direction is shown in green. It is the dimension along which the data vary the most. We get

$$z_{i1} = 0.839 \times (pop_i - p\bar{o}p) + 0.544 \times (ad_i - \bar{ad}).$$

The principal components regression (PCR) just fits a simple linear model for y_i versus z_{i1} using least squares.

- The principal components regression (PCR) approach involves constructing the first M principal components, $Z_1, ..., Z_M$, and then using these components as the predictors in a linear regression model that is fit using least squares.
- We hope that a small number of principal components suffice to explain most of the variability in the data, as well as the relationship with the response.
- As we explained before, it is one of the dimension reduction method, which reduces fitting a linear model with p + 1 predictors to M + 1 predictors.
- This attains better bias-variance trade-off.

Two Simulated Examples



- How to select the number of components M? Cross-validation!
- PCR does not perform feature selection.
- Similar to the ridge and lasso regression, we generally recommend standardizing each predictor.

- **Definition**: High-dimensional data occurs when the number of features *p* exceeds the number of observations *n*.
- **Challenges**: Traditional models (e.g., linear regression) may overfit and perform poorly due to increased variance.
- Examples: Genomics (e.g., SNP data) and marketing (e.g., search terms).

- Purpose: Regularization reduces model flexibility, managing overfitting.
- Techniques:
 - Ridge Regression: Adds a penalty for large coefficients.
 - Lasso Regression: Encourages sparsity by setting some coefficients to zero.
 - **Principal Component Regression (PCR)**: Reduces dimensionality by using principal components.

- **Concept**: Adds an L^2 penalty to the regression to shrink coefficients.
- Equation: $RSS + \lambda \sum \beta_j^2$
- **Pros**: Useful when predictors are highly correlated.
- Limitations: Does not produce sparse models.

- **Concept**: Adds an L¹ penalty, setting some coefficients to zero.
- Equation: RSS + $\lambda \sum |\beta_j|$
- Pros: Produces sparse models, good for feature selection.

- Concept: Reduces predictors by using principal components.
- Advantages: Reduces dimensionality and mitigates multicollinearity.
- Trade-Off: Not all components correlate with the response.

- Traditional Metrics: R^2 , adjusted R^2 , and p-values are unreliable.
- Why ?: Measures of model fit on the training data
- Better Metrics: MSE or R^2 on an independent test set