## Lecture 18: Tree-Based Methods

Nayel Bettache

Department of Statistics and Data Science, Cornell University

- Tree-based method can be applied for both regression and classification.
- Since the method can be summarized in a tree, these types of approaches are known as decision tree methods.
- Tree-based methods are simple and useful for interpretation.
- However, they typically are not competitive with the best supervised learning approaches.
- We will introduce bagging, random forests, and boosting to combine multiple trees to improve the performance.

## The Basics of Decision Trees

We first consider regression problems, and then move on to classification.

Consider the baseball salary data (Hitters data): We want to predict the salary of a baseball player, based on the number of years that he has played in the leagues and the number of hits that he made in the previous year.



Salary is color-coded from low (blue, green) to high (yellow,red)

### What does Decision Tree Look Like?



At a given internal node, the label (of the form  $X_i < t_k$ ) indicates the left-hand branch emanating from that split, and the right-hand branch corresponds to  $X_i \ge t_k$ . The number in each leaf (external node) is the mean of the response for the observations that fall there.

#### The three-region partition for the Hitters data set

Overall, the tree stratifies or segments the players into three regions of predictor space:  $R_1 = \{X | \text{Years} < 4.5\}, R_2 = \{X | \text{Years} > = 4.5, \text{Hits} < 117.5\}, \text{and}$  $R3 = \{X| \text{ Years} \geq 4.5, \text{Hits} \geq 117.5\}.$ 



- In keeping with the tree analogy, the regions  $R_1, R_2$ , and  $R_3$  are known as terminal nodes or leaves.
- Decision trees are typically drawn upside down, in the sense that the leaves are at the bottom of the tree.
- The points along the tree where the predictor space is split are referred to as internal nodes.
- We refer to the segments of the trees that connect the nodes as **branches**.
- Step 1: We divide the predictor space (the set of possible values for  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_p$ ) into J distinct and non-overlapping regions,  $R_1, R_2, ..., R_J$ .
- Step 2: For every observation that falls into the region  $R_j$ , we make the same prediction, which is simply the mean of the response values for the training observations in  $R_j$ .
- In theory, the regions could have any shape. However, we choose to divide the predictor space into high-dimensional rectangles, or boxes, for simplicity and for ease of interpretation of the resulting predictive model.
- The goal is to find boxes  $R_1, R_2, ..., R_J$  that minimize the RSS, given by

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{i \in R_j} (y_i - \hat{y}_{R_j})^2,
$$

where  $\hat{\mathsf{y}}_{\mathsf{R}_{j}}$  is the mean response for the training observations within the  $j$ th box.

- Unfortunately, it is computationally infeasible to consider every possible partition of the feature space into J boxes.
- For this reason, we take a top-down, greedy approach that is known as recursive binary splitting.
- The approach is top-down because it begins at the top of the tree and then successively splits the predictor space; each split is indicated via two new branches further down on the tree.
- It is greedy because at each step of the tree-building process, the best split is made at that particular step, rather than looking ahead and picking a split that will lead to a better tree in some future step.
- We first select the predictor  $X_i$  and the cutpoint s such that splitting the predictor space into the regions  $\{X|X_i < s\}$  and  $\{X|X_i >= s\}$  leads to the greatest possible reduction in RSS.
- That is, we consider all predictors  $X_1, ..., X_p$ , and all possible values of the cutpoint s for each of the predictors, and then choose the predictor and cutpoint such that the resulting tree has the lowest RSS.
- $\bullet$  Mathematically, we seek the value of j and s that minimize the equation

$$
\sum_{i:x_i \in R_1(j,s)} (y_i - \hat{y}_{R_1})^2 + \sum_{i:x_i \in R_2(j,s)} (y_i - \hat{y}_{R_2})^2
$$

where  $R_1(j,s)=\{X|X_j< s\}$  and  $R_2(j,s)=\{X|X_j\geq s\}$ ,  $\hat{y}_{R_1}$  and  $\hat{y}_{R_2}$  are the mean response for the training data in  $R_1(j,s)$  and  $R_2(j,s)$ .

- Next, we repeat the process, looking for the best predictor and best cutpoint in order to split the data further so as to minimize the RSS within each of the resulting regions.
- However, this time, instead of splitting the entire predictor space, we split one of the two previously identified regions. We now have three regions.
- Again, we look to split one of these three regions further, so as to minimize the RSS. The process continues until a stopping criterion is reached; for instance, we may continue until no region contains more than five observations.

Once  $R_1, R_2, ..., R_j$  is given, we just predict the response for a given test observation using the mean of the training observations in the region.

# An Example with 5 Regions



 $\mathfrak{X}_1$ 







- Top Left: A partition of two-dimensional feature space that could not result from recursive binary splitting.
- Top Right: The output of recursive binary splitting on a two-dimensional example.
- Bottom Left: A tree corresponding to the partition in the top right panel.
- Bottom Right: A perspective plot of the prediction surface corresponding to that tree.